IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

~		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v.	& & &	Case Number: 3:19-CR-00083-M
ŘUEL M. HAMILTON (1),	§	
Defendant.	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	
	Y NOTE NO person, please in	
TO JUDGE LYNN:		
The Jury would like	to reque	ust acess to the closina
argument presentation		~
prosecutors, as well a		
timeline from govern		
+ majna 110011 1 100011	VOXIII	
,	1-1-1-1-2000	
e closing arguments h yet been transcribed.	iare not	Ciclo
yet been transcribed.		(To be signed by Jury Foreperson
on have the admitted	exh,bits	
meMLyn (\sim	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

v. RUEL M. HAMILTON (1), Defendant.	<pre> §</pre>
	8
	ENO please insert Note No.)
TO JUDGE LYNN:	
We would like to view	u the defense's timeline
opposite to governments +	
	
There is not a defense offered into endence	timeline That was
offered into endence	
	,
Generall 6/24/21 344 PM	(To be signed by Jury Foreperson)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	§	
v.	8	Case Number: 3:19-CR-00083-M
RUEL M. HAMILTON (1),	§ §	
Defendant.	§ §	
	§ §	

JURY NOTE NO. 3
(Jury Foreperson, please insert Note No.)

TO JUDGE LYNN:

In regards to count 4 must the jury establish that the dependent had ill intent at the time of the call or does the call only need to meet the criteria of fercilitating a bribe.

Additionally, the jury would like to know if the cusing arguments are transcribed and submitted for the grae jury to view.

(To be signed by Jury Foreperson)

Here are The transcripts. I mee be both to you shortly regard, y The other part of yours guestion. Gullon & Jamon & 916 AM 4/28/21

Case 3:19-cr-00083-M Document 338 Filed 06/29/21 Page 4 of 5 PageID 3925 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	§	•
	§	00000
V.	§	Case Number: 3:19-CR-00083-M
	§	
RUEL M. HAMILTON (1),	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	
· .	§	
	§	

JURY NOTE NO. 3
(Jury Foreperson, please insert Note No.)

TO JUDGE LYNN:

In regards to count 4 must the jury establish that the defendent had ill intent at the time of the call or does the call only need to meet the criteria of fescillating a bribe.

Additionally, the jury would like to know if the cusing arguments are transcribed and submitted for the gree jury to view.

(To be signed by Jury Foreperson)

Here are The transcripts. I mee be back to you shortly regarding the other part of young guestion. Guild St. 1990 916 AM 6/28/21

The phrase "That he alid so with a specific intent" in the second element on page 17 refers to the first element on page 17. 925 pm 6/28/21 Boundary

Case 3:19-cr-00083-M Document 338 Filed 06/29/21 Page 5 of 5 PageID 3926 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION

CALIMI	2TATE9	OF	AMERICA
UNLED	STAIRS	OI	MATTIMOT

v.

RUEL M. HAMILTON (1),

Defendant.

Case Number: 3:19-CR-00083-M

JURY NOTE NO. _____(Jury Foreperson, please insert Note No.)

TO JUDGE LYNN:

If we find the defendent "quilty" of rount 3, does that make him quilty of count 4, regardless of his intent at the time of the call the the use of interstate travel facilitated the aet

No. Count 4 has separate and Ciff

different elements from Count 3, (To be signed by Jury Foreperson)

and you are to determine your

verdict as to Cant 4 in accordance

with the instrictions as to Count 4,

regardless of your verdict as to

any other count.

And Sam 6/28/21